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User-space TCP

- Traditionally, TCP stack in kernel space
- A TCP stack in user space can have advantages w.r.t.
  - μsec level latency performance (demanded by HPC, Wall Street,...)
  - Avoid kernel overhead - but kernel bypass often requires hardware assist
Cloud use case - terminate guest TCP conns to Google

- Tighter security
- Better isolation
  - Failure containment - single user process vs the whole kernel
- Release velocity
  - Vulnerability can be patched quickly
- Accurate accounting
- Not for high performance (yet)
Existing user-space TCP stacks

- Many home grown user space TCP stacks inside Google
  - Most for specific use cases; fall apart when go beyond limited use
- Need a mature, high quality production-ready TCP stack
  - Interoperability, compatibility, maintainability,..., etc
- Commercial/open-source user-space TCP stacks often for high performance:
  - OpenOnload, libuinet, lwIP, Seastar, ...

- Mature TCP stacks all kernel-based (Linux, BSD, Solaris,...)
How to run kernel code in user space?

- VM/hypervisor
- User Mode Linux (UML)
- Rump kernel (BSD)
- Extract only TCP code out of the kernel and stub around it
  - Need to separate code that intertwines with the rest of the kernel
  - Where to draw the boundary? (socket, IP, netdev,...)
  - Replacing interfaces to the rest of the kernel can get hairy (MM, synchronization, scheduler, IRQs,...)
  - LibOS?
Linux Kernel Library

- Started by Octavian Purdila
- Designed as a port of Linux kernel
  - arch/lkl (~3500 lines of code)
  - LKL linked with apps to run in user space
- Relies on a set of host-ops provided by the host OS to function
  - semaphore, pthread, malloc, timer,...
- Well defined external interfaces
  - syscalls, virtio-net
Main use case - TCP proxy

- Terminates guest packets
- Proxies to a remote service
  - Can run any protocol the host supports
- May run the proxy remotely
  - Guest packets will be tunnelled through
Architectural constraints

- App/host thread not recognized by LKL kernel scheduler
  - Can’t enter LKL to execute code directly - must wake up a LKL kernel thread to perform syscall on its behalf.
- User address allocated by host OS not recognized by LKL
  - Syscalls into LKL kernel will fail when invoking address space operation
- No-MMU/FLATMEM architecture (va == pa)
  - No memory protection between app and LKL - both in the same space
- No SMP support
  - Entries into the LKL kernel (syscalls, irqs) must be serialized
Getting latency down

- Significant latency overhead - three context switches to run one LKL syscall
- LKL `getppid(2)` takes 10 μs vs host 0.4 μs
- Solution: create a shadow LKL kernel thread and let host thread borrow shadow’s `task_struct` to execute LKL syscall directly
- Blocking syscall: hack `__schedule()` to block the thread on a host semaphore
- `getppid(2)` down to 0.2 μs
Networking performance - LKL vs host

- Runs LKL directly on top of NICs to bypass host kernel altogether
- LKL started at 5-10x slower than the host stack
Latency comparison against kernel stack

- 1-byte TCP_RR
- host stack baseline - 23 μs
- LKL busy poll - 33 μs (1.4X)
- w/o busy poll - 40 μs (1.8X)
- Gap to host: no hardware IRQ
Boosting bulk data throughput

- Simple formula -> Large segments + csum offload
- GSO & GRO support already part of the kernel
  - LKL GSO alone doubles the throughput (one line change in virtio-net device code)
- GUEST/HOST_TSO requires virtio-net device support
- All flavors of offloads were added to LKL (incl. both “large-packet” and “mergeable-RX-buffer” modes)
Thruput comparison against kernel stack

- LKL gets ~5x boost from the offload support
- Removing copy in virtio-net gets LKL within 75% of host
- LKL saturates ~1 CPU vs only 50% for the host
- LKL costs ~2.5x CPU cycles compared to host
Reducing copy overhead

- Copy is the simplest mechanism to move data
- But burns lots of CPU cycles (after offloads enabled)
  - ~30% CPU for TCP proxy
- Six copy operations for each byte transferred in TCP proxy
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Zero-copy sockets - TX

- **Same addr space & protection domain for user & LKL kernel**
  - But kernel tracks physical pages (e.g., skb_frag_t) so not much easier (still needs to use API like vmsplice(2))

- **Host allocated user address not recognized by LKL kernel**
  - Syscalls involving addr space operation (e.g., vmsplice(2)) will fail
  - Solution - call LKL mmap(MAP_ANONYMOUS) to allocate buffer

- **LKL needs to notify user when is safe to reuse a buffer**
  - Has to ensure buffer not just ack’ed, but also freed to avoid security hole
  - Patches exist from willemb@google.com
Zero-copy socket - RX

- Returns skb from `sk_receive_queue` to the app directly
- App extracts data addresses from skb, e.g., use `page_address()` to convert `struct page` to pa (== va)
- App needs to deal with iovec of possibly odd size/unaligned buffers unfortunately (especially for “mergeable-RX-buffer”)
- Call back to LKL to free skb
- Changes to kernel code outside of arch/lkl
- Still WIP
Configuration/diagnosis tools

- Since LKL has all the kernel code, can we make various net-tools (ifconfig/ethtool/netstat/tcpdump/...) work?
- Constrained by a single process LKL is bounded
- A simple facility was added to spawn a thread providing a cmdline to mount procfs, sysfs, and retrieve counters, modify tunables, ..., etc
- General solution - hijack syscalls from net-tools and execute in a remote LKL process, like *sysproxy* in *rump*
Questions?
Backup Slides
Testing configuration - tuntap to host kernel

- Easy to setup
- Packet injection to/from the host kernel can be expensive hence not good for production use
- Best for debugging or regression test purpose
Thruput for a local TCP proxy

- All offloads enabled on the guest side
- LKL GSO alone doubles the throughput (one line change in virtio-net device code)
- Optimal performance - large segment end-to-end w/o any csum calculation
Dynamic Linker

- Loads shared libraries needed by an executable at run time
- Performs any necessary relocations
- Calls initialization functions provided by the dependencies
- Passes control to the application
- Kernel code compiled as shared library exposed to these bugs
Linker/loader bugs

```c
#include <lkl.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int foo_v1 (void) { return 1; }

void * f_choice (void) {
    return foo_v1;
}

int foo (void) __attribute__ ((ifunc ("f_choice")));

int main() {
    printf("%fpv\n", foo());
    volatile int b = 0;
    if (b) {
        // never executed
        lkl_syscall(0, 0);
    }
    return 0;
}
```
TEXTREL (relocation in the text segment)

- Shared library containing TEXTRELs can’t be shared anymore
- Text segment needs to be made writable - security issue (e.g., forbidden by SELinux)
- Android 6 does not support binaries with TEXTRELs.